	Case 2:22-cv-00160-DAD-AC Documen	t 11 Filed 11/02/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BERHANU JACOB,	No. 2:22-cv-00160 DAD AC PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,	
15 16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The action was accordingly referred to the	
19	undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 302(c)(21). Plaintiff filed a	
20	complaint and paid the filing fee on January 26, 2022. ECF No. 1. That same day, a scheduling	
21	order was issued which ordered the plaintiff to serve a copy of the scheduling order and complete	
22	service of process within 90 days of filing the complaint. ECF No. 3 at 1. Plaintiff was cautioned	
23	that failure to complete service within 90 days may result in dismissal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.	
24	40(m). <u>Id.</u> The undersigned issued an order to show cause regarding lack of service. ECF No. 4.	
25	Plaintiff timely provided an affidavit regarding service of process. ECF No. 5. Review of	
26	plaintiff's submission shows that while plaintiff attempted service, service was	
27	not properly completed.	
28	////	
		1

The court notified plaintiff that service was not properly completed via order on		
September 26, 2022. ECF No. 10. The court directed plaintiff to the proper procedure for service		
and ordered plaintiff to properly complete service and file proof of service within 30 days. <u>Id.</u> at		
2. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure respond could lead to a recommendation that the action be		
dismissed. Plaintiff did not respond. Plaintiff has not responded to the court's orders, nor taken		
action to prosecute this case.		
Therefore, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, without		
prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. See Fed. R.		

Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one (21) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Local Rule 304(d). Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

auson Clane

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: November 2, 2022